



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/826,522

04/16/2004

Geert Plaetinck

D0590.70011US02

2890

23628 7590 05/22/2008
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206

EXAMINER

SHIN, DANA H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1635

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,522	Applicant(s) PLAETINCK ET AL.	
	Examiner DANA SHIN	Art Unit 1635	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANA SHIN.

(3) John Van Amsterdam.

(2) Jon Eric Angell.

(4) Thierry Boggaert, Ann Viaene.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Thierry Bogaert, one of the inventors of the present application, presented data pertaining to the actual utility of the claimed invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 30-41, 70-74 and 80-83.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fire et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Granting a priority to an earlier filed application was discussed. Applicant pointed out that the US provisional application of the Fire patents (both US and WO) does not disclose the claimed invention. Applicant was told that a complete and thorough review of the priority issues raised during the interview will be made upon the receipt of applicant's reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. E. Angell/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required